ADDENDUM # 8
BID # FY 2017-2018-019 CITY OF HALLANDALE BEACH
INFLOW AND INFILTRATION REMOVAL PHASE 2

Please ensure you check the City’s website for the latest addendum released for this project. Below find the link to the City’s website: [www.cohb.org/solicitations](http://www.cohb.org/solicitations).

Firm must provide this form signed by an authorized officer of your Firm to acknowledge receipt of ADDENDUM # 8 and provide with your firm’s response.

**PLEASE NOTE:**

**QUESTION # 1.**

The line items on the Bid Form (page 13) and in the Technical Specifications (page 5) do not mirror each other. For example, the quantities listed on the 8” on the Bid Form, Line item #7 reads 41,500 LF. In the Tech Specs, it reads 30,000 LF. Additionally, there doesn’t appear to be a line item for Sectional Repairs on the Bid Form but in the Tech Specs there are (2) line items for Sectional repairs (8” -300EA, 10” or 12” – 50 EA). Can you please clarify that for me?

**ANSWER # 1.**

See addendum # 6.

**QUESTION # 2.**

On page 32, section2.4.8 the following is listed for lateral liner material “ Liner shall be manufactured by Insituform of North America or approved equal”. I just wanted to advise that Insituform of North America is an outdated designation. The proper designation is Insituform Technologies, LLC

**ANSWER # 2.**

See Exhibit A – Technical Specifications, page 32 correction to name of manufacture, Insituform Technologies, LLC or approved equal.
QUESTION # 3.

The specifications for Lateral Lining reference ASTM F2561. There is only one manufacturer that meets that specification, thus drastically limiting the playing field for a more competitive bid. Can this ASTM spec be switched to ASTM F1216 to open the bid to a more competitive process?

ANSWER # 3.

The City will accept both.

QUESTION # 4.

In regards to the above project, can you please provide the budget/cost estimate, if the information is available?

ANSWER # 4.

The cost estimate is $3 Million.

QUESTION # 5.

Also, the bid form quantity reads 10 EA in lieu of stating them in vertical feet. As a result, it can be a lot of guess work in not knowing how deep the manholes are that need lining. Can this be changed to show the footage in vertical feet?

ANSWER # 5.

See addendum #6.

QUESTION # 6.

Is there a specific bid bond form or do we use an AIA form?

ANSWER # 6.

The City does not have a Bid Bond Form. Firms must obtain Bid Bond via a Surety company.
QUESTION # 7.

How much are the LD's?

ANSWER # 7.

See addendum # 7.

QUESTION # 8.

This contract has a 360 day length, are there any extensions?

ANSWER # 8.

See Addendum #7.

QUESTION # 9.

MQR #3 states 5 public utility references with one utility of $1M or greater. Do all five need to be $1M or greater, or just one of these?

ANSWER # 9.

See addendum #2.

QUESTION # 10.

The reference forms ask if at least 500,000 LF of pipes were lined, at an average price of $30/Lf that's over $15M just in lining for one client. That's a very large amount and well over MQR #3's minimum of $1M.

ANSWER # 10.

See addendum #2.

QUESTION # 11.

Similar to question 12, the reference form asks about 2,500 laterals, which has a market value of $5-$10M.

ANSWER # 11.

See addendum #2.
QUESTION # 12.

Is the COHB sending out the reference check forms or do we as the bidder submit them to our clients and attach them to the bid?

ANSWER # 12.

See Bid document, page 15, Reference Section, paragraph 2. Vendor/Firm must obtain all references.

QUESTION # 13.

We are interested in bidding the above referenced bid # FY 2017-2018-019. We would like to know if there is any prior bid tabulation. If yes, could you please provide us a copy.

ANSWER # 13.

There is none.

QUESTION # 14.

Could you please provide us with the previous year bid tabulations (of similar project) showcasing the unit prices for various pay items? Any information you may provide will be highly appreciated.

ANSWER # 14.

See answer #13.

QUESTION # 15.

Looking through the bid documents and specifications, I was unable to locate any reference to the dollar amount of Liquidated Damages. Can you clarify if there is an amount and, if so, what that amount is?

ANSWER # 15.

See answer # 7.
QUESTION # 16.

Why has a second Mandatory Pre Bid been scheduled?

ANSWER # 16.

The City revised the Minimum Qualification Requirements (MQRs) for this Bid. When MQRs are revised the Pre-Bid, meeting must be held again.

QUESTION # 17.

The unit of measure for FG Bridge Repairs is set at “LF”. Line items 14, 15 & 16. Our product is offered in kit form only. Each kit is made to fit each repair. It will make more sense for everyone involved to change the unit of measure to “Each” or per unit. A standard length can be determined before hand. Say if you had 4’ VCP clay pipe segments then one would install a 6’ kit so 1 foot of liner is passing the defect area on both ends.

Also, the original technical specs were set at each. 350 total repairs were called for. Now it’s all in LF.

Can the unit of measure be changed from “LF” to “Each”?

LF is MH to MH CIPP lining unit of measure.

ANSWER # 17.

See addendum #6.

QUESTION # 18.

Addendum 2 allows the proposing firm to be a licensed as an Underground Utility Contractor. Can you please revise the wording on the Minimum Qualification Requirement # 3 to: Proposing Firm must have completed with Public Utility clients with a minimum value of work with one utility of $1,000,000 or greater as General Contractor or Underground Utility Contractor...

ANSWER # 18.

See addendum # 2.
QUESTION # 19.

On page 40, the specifications for Lateral Lining reference ASTM F2561. There is only one manufacturer that meets that specification, thus drastically limiting the playing field for a more competitive bid. Can this ASTM spec be switched to include ASTM F1216 to open the bid to a more competitive process?

ANSWER # 19.

See answer # 3.

QUESTION # 20.

I notice on the bid sheet there are no cleanouts. Typically, with long lateral T-Liners such as this project, cleanouts are required for proper line-ups and for steam ventilation purposes. Are there existing cleanout that can used? If not, will cleanouts be added to the project?

ANSWER # 20.

See addendum #6.

QUESTION # 21.

Will you please let us know the reasoning behind the second mandatory pre-bid meeting? We attended the first meeting, wanted to see if there will be additional information provided at the second.

ANSWER # 21.

See answer # 16.
QUESTION # 22.

Need some clarification on the Reference Section Form.

The project sizes (dollar, footage, etc) the City is requesting is not a problem to provide but the Reference Section Form is asking for start date and end date of the project. Keep in mind that a project of this size has several releases portioned out over a number of years. Assume we provide the sum total of footage, dollars, etc installed to date for all the releases?

Also, the last item listed on the Reference Section Form reads “Provide a copy of the report provided to the public utility client as a result of performing the work”. Is the City looking for a final Pay application?

ANSWER # 22.

Firm must provide a copy of final payment application or report provided to public utility client as a result of performing the work to be submitted.

QUESTION # 23.

To further clarify, all of the projects I will provide have multiple releases tied to them. Is it okay to provide, in addition to the Reference Section form, an attachment showing the total footage, total dollars, etc of the releases tied to the project?

ANSWER # 23.

Yes.
QUESTION # 24.

BLD Services, LLC and our BLD Connection Seal + Lateral Liner, request to be named as an approved equal as stated in BID # FY 2017-2018-019 CITY OF HALLANDALE BEACH INFLOW & INFILTRATION REMOVAL PHASE 2;

SECTION 02770 – CIP STRUCTURAL LATERAL CONNECTION LINING

1. - GENERAL
   The cured-in-place mainline/lateral connection repair system shall be “TLiner” as manufactured by LMK Enterprises, Inc., or approved equal.

BLD Services, LLC, meets all qualifications as stated in sections;

1.4.3  – BLD Services, uses its own trained-in-house employees, BLD is a turnkey company.
1.4.4  – BLD Services, has installed laterals in Florida for 9 years, with the same PM and a couple of Superintendents.
1.4.5  – BLD Services, has installed well over the 2,500 full circle structural laterals in Florida
1.4.6  – As stated BLD Services has been installing laterals in Florida since 2008

Or, remove “2.3.2 - The liner shall meet or exceed ASTM F2561-06.”. BLD Services meets the specification and qualifications, except that one line. Having this statement in the bid documents makes the Lateral Lining a sole source to LMK Pipe Renewal.

ANSWER # 24.

The City will accept any ASTM F1216 or ASTM F2561. See Exhibit A- Technical Specifications pages 38 -44.

QUESTION # 25.

Another RFI here, on the revised bid form, specifically item #23, it lists “Root Removal” with a quantity of 10 but the Unit Price states “Points”. Need clarification on the term “Points” as that is not explained in the bid documents and hard to quantify a price based on that.

ANSWER # 25.

See addendum #6.
PLEASE NOTE RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM # 8 BY SIGNING BELOW AND INCLUDE WITH YOUR FIRM’S SUBMISSION.

I ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM # 8:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sincerely,

Andrea Lues, Director, Procurement Department